Burmese IPA Transcription

Tyler D. Davis, 22. December 2023

There are many systems of transcribing Burmese for those who can't read the script, including the Library of Congress system, the Myanmar Language Committee system, the Okell system, and the ever-evolving popular Burglish/Myanglish system. However, I think the most apt is just to transcribe things into IPA and I want to provide a guide for that here. This is, of course, the way I do it, but others may feel free to modify it as they see fit.

A note on best practices

As I inferred above, there are already several different transcription systems for Burmese that are not IPA, and several researchers differ in how Standard Burmese is transcribed in IPA anyway. When publishing, it would be fit to either include a long footnote or an appendix that explains your system of transcription, since it could be different from how other researchers would transcribe. My recommendation, as I do here, is to use broad IPA transcription rather than narrow.

Consonants

For the consonants, please note that I transcribe the IPA based upon the modern Burmese pronunciation and not based upon the historical Brahmic properties of the character (i.e. $\omega < g^h >$, $\infty < \delta^h >$, $\omega < s >$).

The transcription of these into IPA is pretty straightforward, as you'll see below.

က	/k/	ව	$/k^h/$	ಬ	/g/	О	/g/	С	/ŋ/
٥	/s/	∞	/s ^h /	ଦ୍ୱା	/z/	@	/z/	ည	/n/
CJJ	/t/	G	/t ^h /	נעט	/d/	υ	/d/	ന്ത	/n/
တ	/t/	∞	/t ^h /	3	/d/	0	/d/	ş	/n/
U	/p/	O	$/p^h/$	Ð	/b/	ဘ	/b/	3	/m/
ယ	/j/	ရ	/j/~/1/	N	/1/	0	/w/	သ	/θ/ (/t̪/)
		ဟ	/h/	Gu	/1/	39	/ʔa/~ /ʔə/		

There is some discussion and disagreement about the transcription of certain characters. For example, Watkins (2001) transcribes ∞ as $/\theta/$, which similar to < think $> [\theta \iota \eta k^h]$ in English. Others (anonymous p.c.) argue that it's not really a fricative, but a dental /t/, as in Spanish < todos $> [to_0 \delta os]$ 'all'. For simplicity's sake, I write it as $/\theta/$, but I think the dental claim has merit. For what it's worth, Watkins (2001) explains that θ is often realized as a dental affricate $[t\theta]$.

Complex onsets

Basically, Consonant + \bigcirc or \bigcirc is C+j (i.e. \bigcirc /pja/), unless it's a velar from the first row:

Devoiced Consonants

Consonants are devoiced in Burmese with the ω_i° /ha.thó/ <j>. Its use applies to the following and should be transcribed as follows (only for basic onset, this table does not include tone or vowel quality):

Junction with Consonant	IPA
Θ	/m̥/
s or op (rare)	/n̥/
လှ	/l/ (sometimes transcribed as /l/)
ရှ or လျှ or သျှ (rare)	/ c /

Allophones

I don't really want to take the time to discuss allophones here, since we're discussing simple transcription, but Okell (1969: 6), Watkins (2001) and Gruber (2011) all discuss allophones more in depth.

Vowels

Let's start first with the open vowels. This table is modified from Adoniram Judson's *A Grammar of The Burmese Language*, 1883, public domain.

Vowel	Symbol	Name of Symbol	Junction with m	IPA
39	none	-	က	/ka/
အာ	ာ or ါ	ရေးချ	ကာ	/ka/
33	− °	လုံးကြီးတင်	ကိ	/k <u>i</u> /
	_ °	လုံးကြီးတင်ဆန်ခတ်	ကီ	/ki/
5	- ု	တချောင်းငင်	ကု	/ku/
ဦ	− ူ	နှစ်ချောင်းငင်	ကူ	/ku/
8	େ−	သဝေထိုး	നേ	/ke/
အဲ	-ò	သေဝထိုး	ကဲ	/ke/
ඛ	ေ−ာ	နောက်[သို့]ပြစ်	ന്നേ	/kɔ́/¹
ဪ	ေ−ာ်	သဝေထိုးချ	ကော်	/kɔ/
အို	–ို	လုံးကြီးတင်တချောင်းငင်	ကို	/ko/

¹ This example specifically is denoted by a high (falling) tone.

And move on to the closed and nasalized vowels

Vowel	Junction with m	IPA	
	ကန်	/kã/ or /kan/	
- o	ကစ်	/k ₁ ?/	
-င်	ကင်	/kĩ/	
-ိတ်၊ ိပ်	ကိတ်၊ ကိပ်	/kei?/	
-က်	ကက်	/ke?/	
-တ်၊ -ပ်	ကတ်/ကပ်	/ka?/	
-ွန်	ကွန်	/kỡ/ or /kơn/	
-ွတ်၊ -ွပ်	ကွတ်၊ ကွပ်	/kʊʔ/	
-ုပ်၊-ပုတ်	ကုပ်၊ ကုတ်	/koʊ?/	
-ုန်	ကုန်	/ko͡v/ or /kovn/	
ိုင်	ကိုင်	/ka͡i/ or /kain/	
-ိုက်	ကိုက်	/kai?/	
- ေ-ာက်	ကောက်	/kav?/	
ေ-ာက်	ကောင်	/kaซี/ or /kaซิท/	

Tone

The number of tones varies depending on whether you're speaking to a linguist or a Burmese speaker. Burmese people generally learn that there are three tones $\mathfrak B$ $\mathfrak B$ $\mathfrak B$, which translate to creaky, low, and high (falling). Linguists would add the stopped (glottal) tone, as well, which is found with the closed vowels given above. Different linguists have transcribed the tones in different ways, but I prefer to use the following system:

Tone	Open σ	IPA	Closed σ	IPA
Creaky	ကု	/ku̯/	ကောင့်	/kaun/
Low	ကူ	/ku/	ကောင်	/kaun/
High	ကူး	/kú/	ကောင်း	/kấun/
Glottal	ကုတ်	/kou?/	ကောက်	/kav?/

Burmese tonal transciption is often open to personal interpretation and, honestly, preference. Lin Kyaw Zaw (2017: 9–10), for example, classifies what I call a "High" tone as a "Level" tone leaves it unmarked, and combines both what I call "Creaky" and "Glottal" into a single "High falling tone" category. When looking just at pitch, Lin Kyaw Zaw has good reason to combine these tone categories and I have no problem with him or anyone transcribing that way for a system of transcription. I personally feel, however, that having a 1:1 correlation which recognizes the different syllable types (open, closed, nasalized) is best.

Demonstrations

Words/Phrase Demonstration

The first demonstration showcases a few words or names that might appear in a typical Burma Studies article.

Burmese	Common Anglicized	IPA		
	Rendering			
 ဆရာစံ	Sayar San	/sʰə.ja.sã/ or /sʰə.ja.san/		
ဘုရင်	Bayin	/bə.jĩ/ or /bə.jɪn/		
လွှတ်တော်	Hluttaw	/โูบ?.tɔ/		
တပ်မတော်	Tatmadaw	/taʔ.mə.tɔ/		
ကလောင်း	galaung	/kə.lấữ/ or /kə.lấun/		
ဝီရသူ	Wirathu	/wi.ɾa̞.θu/ (Pāli loan)		

Ideally, terms would be written in phonetic style either in-line next to the actual Burmese term, or at least upon first mention as a pronunciation reference, with an Anglicized rendering next to it that remains consistent throughout the text (1) or in a footnote (2):

- 1. Missionaries, known to Burmese Christians as သာသနာပြု /θa.θə.na.pju/ (henceforth thathanapyu)...
- 2. Missionaries, known to Burmese Christians as thathanapyu², ...

It is perhaps unrealistic to expect casual readers of Burma Studies literature to be versed in IPA. Display Burmese text is now easier than ever with Unicode support in most word processors (even Xelatex, with much effort), but not all Burma Studies readers will necessarily know how to read the script either (or are maybe in the process of learning it). No matter the case, making it available in publications is nonetheless beneficial to some degree, and that's why I promote it here.

² သာသနာပြူ /θa.θə.na.pju/

Paragraph Demonstration

For the second demonstration of my transcription, I'm using a few sentences from the first paragraph of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, converted into Unicode HTML by the *UDHR in Unicode* project. Please note that this a broad transcription that doesn't showcase all allephony, like o being pronounced as [z] in certain environments. For reading simplicity, I will follow the spacing conventions of the document and go clause-by-clause. Please note that I will only transcribe words and not digits or punctuation. I include syllable breaks next to glottal stops /?/ to distinguish between the start of independent vowels and closed consonants with glottal tone³.

ဤကဲ့သို့ ရာဇဝင်တင်မည့် ကြေညာချက်ကို ပြုလုပ်ပြီးနောက် ?ikɛဗိoʻ jazəwĭtĩmi tçenatçʰɛʔko pjulouʔpínauʔ

ဤညီလာခံအစည်းအဝေးကြီးက ကမ္ဘာ့ကုလသမဂ္ဂအဖွဲ့ဝင် နိုင်ငံ အားလုံးအား ထိုကြေညာစာတမ်းကြီး၏ စာသား ကိုအများပြည်သူတို့ ကြားသိစေရန်

?iɲilakʰã.ʔəsí.ʔəwétçíka kəbakulaθəməga.ʔəpʰwɛwĩ naïŋã ʔáloö́ʔ.á tʰotçenasatắtçí.ʔi̯ saθá ko.ʔəmjápjiθuto tçáθisejã

ကြေညာပါမည့် အကြောင်းကိုလည်းကောင်း၊ tçenatpami ?ətçäðkoləkä̈́

ထို့ပြင်နိုင်ငံများ၊ သို့တည်းမဟုတ် နယ်မြေများ၏ နိုင်ငံရေး အဆင့်အတန်းကို လိုက်၍ t^h opjĩna \tilde{i} na \tilde

ခွဲခြားခြင်း မပြုဘဲအဓိကအားဖြင့် စာသင်ကျောင်းများနှင့် အခြားပညာရေး အဖွဲ့အစည်းများတွင် $k^h w \acute{e}t c^h \acute{a}t c^h \acute{$

ထိုကြေညာစာတမ်းကြီးကို ဖြန့်ချိ ဝေငှ စေရန်၊ t^h otçenasatấtçíko p^h jãtçhi wena sejã

မြင်သာအောင် ပြသထားစေရန်၊ mjĩθa.ʔa͡v pjaႎa̯tʰásejã

ဖတ်ကြားစေရန်နှင့် p^haʔtçásejãnĩ

³ The descrepency could also be cleared up if one chooses to use a superscript /-²/ for glottal tone.

အဓိပ္ပာယ်ရှင်းလင်း ဖော်ပြစေရန် ?ခder?pɛçî́lí pʰɔpja̞sejã ဆောင်ရွက်ပါမည့် အကြောင်းဖြင့် လည်းကောင်း ဆင့်ဆို လိုက်သည်။ $s^hav{u}_jwe$?pami̯ ?ətçav p^h_j i ləkav p^h_j i ləkavəvə ləqavi ləkavəvə ləqavi ləkavəvə ləqavi ləq

Comments?

I welcome any questions, thoughts and/or comments on the subject and this squib is subject to further revision, in order to make it more helpful.

References

Gruber, James. 2011. An articulatory, acoustic and auditory study of Burmese tone.

Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University PhD dissertation in Linguistics.

Lin Kyaw Zaw. 2017. *Selected discourse features in Burmese sermons*. Chiang Mai, Thailand: Payap University MA in Linguistics.

Okell, John. 1969. *A Reference Grammar of Colloquial Burmese*. Routledge. http://eprints.soas.ac.uk/18513/. (1 December, 2015).

Watkins, Justin W. 2001. Burmese. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 31(02). https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025100301002122.